

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/342,255	06/29/1999	MAKOTO OGURA	35.C11293DI	6294

5514 7590 11/08/2002

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER
SEMBER, THOMAS M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2875

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/342,255

Applicant(s)

Ogura

Examiner

Thomas Sember

Art Unit 2875



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	Period for Reply				
A SH	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extens 	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing - If the p	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication			
- Any re	sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status	petent term adjustment. 300 or GTT 1.75 Tag.				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 3, 20	002			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	ction is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>53 and 55-58</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>53 and 55-58</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
	9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
_	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachme					
	ice of Profesonop's Peters Proving Paview (PTO 049)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) omation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			
	Tractor Discussio Statement (a) (FTO-1773) Paper Note).	o) _ other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/342,255 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Amendment

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 53 and 55-58 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because applicant merely uses different terminology to claim the same device. The main difference between the claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200 and claim 53 of the pending application 09/342,255 is that applicant further limits the claim 53 to further include "the second light/reflecting and/or diffusing area.....is.inclined with respect to a longitudinal axis of the translucent member." Claim 8 of Ogura (U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200) claims "a second light

Application/Control Number: 09/342,255 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

reflecting and/or diffusing area for reflecting and/or diffusing the light to said light exit area" but doesn't include the limitation that the second reflector is inclined. It would have been an obvious engineering design choice to modify the second reflector of U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200 so as to be inclined with respect to the translucent member's longitudinal surface in order to efficiently transmit light to the light exit area.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 09/03/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Ogura (U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200) fails to claim "a second light reflecting and/or diffusing area being adapted to reflect and/or diffuse the light to said exit area and inclined with respect to a longitudinal axis of the translucent member." The examiner disagrees. Claim 8 of Ogura (U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200) claims all the features of claim 53 further including "a second light reflecting and/or diffusing area for reflecting and/or diffusing the light to said light exit area" It would have been an obvious engineering design choice to modify the second reflector of U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200 so as to be inclined with respect to the translucent member's longitudinal surface in order to efficiently transmit light to the light exit area.

Art Unit: 2875

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is (703) 308-1938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea, can be reached at (703) 305-4939. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Thomas M. Sember

Primary Examiner November 4, 2002